DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 327 520 SP 032 849

AUTHOR Stahlhut, Richard; Hawkes, Richard
TITLE Connections with Practitioners Adds Excellence to Teacher Education.
PUB DATE Mar 91
NOTE 11p.; Paper presented at the National Meeting of the American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education (Atlanta, GA, February 1991).
PUB TYPE Speeches/Conference Papers (150) -- Reports - Descriptive (141)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Administrator Role; *College School Cooperation; Cooperating Teachers; *Education Service Centers; Elementary Secondary Education; *Field Experience Programs; Higher Education; Preservice Teacher Education; *Regional Programs; School Districts
IDENTIFIERS University of Northern Iowa

ABSTRACT

The University of Northern Iowa (UNI) has established the Regional Partnership Program, consisting of nine regional centers located across the state, so that UNI may be geographically in touch with all areas of the state. All of the centers have a common structure: the administrator of each center is a tenure track UNI professor; all centers have implemented a full semester student teaching curriculum; and all center partnerships have established an advisory cadre of local education practitioners. The program has seven major characteristics: (1) use of a regional network allows expanded student teaching experiences under a centralized administration; (2) in each regional district at least one professor has overall responsibility for achieving goals; (3) in each center a clinical supervisor is under contract to the university and directly responsible to the professor in charge; (4) at least five teachers per center belong to a cadre which works closely with the professor and clinical supervisor; (5) in each region classroom teachers are trained to serve as cooperating teachers on a term-by-term basis; (6) a special relationship has been established between school district and university; and (7) a telecommunications network has been installed. To achieve greater depth in field experience programs, each regional center has established a working structure that best fits the school districts involved in the partnership. (JD)

****
* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *
****

ED327520

CONNECTIONS WITH PRACTITIONERS ADDS EXCELLENCE TO TEACHER EDUCATION

Presented by:

Dr. Richard Stahlhut, Associate Professor
University of Northern Iowa

Dr. Richard Hawkes, Professor
University of Northern Iowa

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

R. Stahlhut

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

☐ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

SP 032 849

A paper presented to the American Association of College of Teacher Education at the National Meeting in Atlanta, Georgia, February, 1991.

CONNECTIONS WITH PRACTITIONERS ADDS

EXCELLENCE TO TEACHER EDUCATION

Introduction

Universities typically only seek out practitioners and school classrooms to help train education majors during the student teachers' clinical field experience (Comfort and Moore, 1987). Outside of student teaching and maybe a few observational experiences, there are minimal contacts and few interactions between universities and public schools and their respective professionals. Such interactions can best be described as mere "marriages of convenience" (Smith and Auger, 1986). According to recent major reports (the Carnegie Report, the Holmes Report, the new standards of NCATE and the F.I.N.E. Report in the state of Iowa) on the training of teachers, partnerships between universities and the public schools are encouraged to address the greater needs of both organizations. "The most promising partnerships are those that provide benefits for both professors and practitioners" (Goodlad, 1987, p.9).

According to the author of Tomorrow's Schools (1990), university and school faculties should collaborate to try to solve problems of teaching and learning. They should not limit themselves to field experiences. In an attempt to engage in expanded roles, the University of Northern Iowa (UNI) Regional Partnership Program evolved in the fall of 1988. This new collaborative partnership reshaped the university's long established field experience program. This reshaping was designed

relationship with school districts, schools, and individual educators throughout the state of Iowa. This new partnership was implemented with the single focus of making school practitioners more active partners in the teacher preparation program.

The new conceptual model involves the establishment of nine regional centers. These centers are specifically located across the state in such a fashion as to cause UNI to geographically touch all areas of the state.

Each regional center has a resident professor who is the administrator for a central office in a large school district which then serves as the administrative hub for reaching out to surrounding school districts. The professor also fills the role of "teacher educator" along with being a professor to the preservice student teachers. The immediate benefit is an ability to bring multiple school districts into the UNI network through the use of a decentralized decision making process. These nine regional centers serve as the administrative units for various field programs. These centers allow the university to better meet local and area needs through a structure that is field based. They also allow the decision making process to be more closely aligned to the activities going on in the centers.

Key Elements

The philosophy guiding the nine collaborative partnerships can best be summarized by four words: **Field Responsive**, **Center Specific**. **Field Responsive** addresses the need to develop some consistency between the nine regional centers. From a university

management perspective, all of the centers must have a common structure to allow for program continuity. Several examples of this continuity include: 1) every center has a tenure track professor who is the administrator for all aspects of the center's operation; 2) all centers have implemented a full semester student teaching curriculum; and 3) all center partnerships have established an advisory cadre of local educational practitioners.

The cadre consists of at least five professional educator s from regional schools. In specific ways cadre members participate in the management of the regional center, and in global ways they advise the university relative to matters related to the total teacher education program.

Center Specific points out the reality that there are many differences in the activities of school districts throughout the state. Their needs can be expected to vary. Hence, regional centers are free to be different. Examples include: 1) individual cadres are free to engage in projects they believe are pertinent to their needs. The center specific component of this partnership program is designed to encourage creativity and to respond to regional needs. Cadre involvement extends beyond clinical field experience activities because cadre members are involved in a variety of teacher education matters; and 2) each regional partnership structures the student teaching clinical field experience in ways that best fit the regional districts. This includes tailoring the semester of student teaching experience to regional district's calendars.

This collaborative partnership program satisfies basic assumptions established for field experience programs and it keeps the "quality issue" clearly in focus. This model takes the best of the sixty-five year history and tradition of UNI's field-based student teaching program and incorporates a strategy for implementing the professional role. The nine regional centers combine the positive elements of direct involvement of practitioners into the teacher education program, while keeping the university in the position of providing leadership and curriculum consistency for its own programs. Finally, this regionally conceptualized model allows the university to maintain high visibility with a large cross-section of Iowa and it keeps the university in a position of serving the state through its professional relationship with practitioners.

What Does This Partnership Program Offer Professors and Practitioners?

1. At least 80 school districts are included in the nine regional centers. They have a closer working relationship with the College of Education.

2. A network of practitioners in cadres across the state have an ongoing special relationship with the College of Education.

3. There are opportunities for professional growth through research and other collaborative activities between professors and practitioners in field-based situations.

4. There is a direct avenue for input from school practitioners into the teacher education program, increasing the

responsibility for practicing educators in the preservice preparation of new teachers.

5. There are increased professional development and inservice opportunities for all teachers in the partnership school districts.

6. Local school districts can now better screen potential candidates for teaching positions.

7. There is an opportunity, through a state-wide technological network, for the College of Education to assume a more pronounced and effective leadership role in the preparation and education of teachers at both the preservice and inservice levels.

COMPONENTS OF THE NEW PARTNERSHIP

1. The traditional student teaching program has been expanded through the use of a regional network. Students are still placed in specific school districts that were student teaching centers with UNI in the past, but now students are also assigned to other regional school districts. This expansion of the network allows for new student teaching opportunities while centralizing the administration process in one location in the field.

2. In each new regional district there is at least one professor who has overall responsibility for achieving the goals of the field experiences programs. The professor fills the role of "teacher educator" along with being a professor to the pre-service student teachers. In this latter capacity, the professor is responsible for an academic seminar that is an integral part of the student teaching curriculum and he/she teaches a state mandated

human relations course that must be completed during the student teaching semester. Besides the above-mentioned teaching duties, the professors are also expected to fulfill the normal professional responsibilities of research and service.

3. In the nine regional centers, the university has purchased approximately one-half of the contract of a local school district practitioner. This individual is a clinical supervisor for some of the student teachers placed in the regional center. The clinical supervisor is directly responsible to the professor who directs the program in that region. The clinical supervisor's main responsibilities are those related to the day-to-day supervision of student teachers, assisting the professor with student teaching seminars and fulfilling responsibilities related to the cadre.

4. In each regional center, a minimum of five classroom teachers have been selected to serve as members of a cadre. These educators, who accept an appointment for approximately three years, are helping create a network of practitioners who have a long-term relationship with the university. Cadre members work closely with the professor and the clinical supervisor to provide input into the teacher education program on campus and provide leadership for the student teaching program in the regional centers. Cadre members are paid an annual stipend for their contributions to the university's teacher education program. For the 1990-91 academic year, 70 educators provide advise to UNI about its teacher education program.

5. In each regional district, teachers are identified and

trained to serve as classroom cooperating teachers on a term-by-term basis. The identification and training procedures of cooperating teachers are handled through three practices: 1) collaborative development of procedures by the regional cadre; 2) regular scheduling of the graduate level course, "Supervision in Student Teaching"; and 3) development of on-going inservice programs sponsored by the university in each center.

6. The College of Education has assumed responsibility for establishing a special relationship with each cooperating school district in each of the nine regions and with each cadre member. The nature of these relationships are determined by the needs and uniqueness of the local school districts, in concert with the university. This includes such activities as the delivery of graduate course work and specifically requested inservice support.

7. A telecommunications network (Procomm Computer Conferencing System) has been installed. This system was developed as a result of two grants totaling $198,000 from the Department of Education in Iowa. Through the use of four WATTS lines, regional professors and practitioners are computer linked with the College of Education, UNI faculty and other professionals in all of the other regional centers. A series of computer conferences allow the field-based professors and practitioners to be networked through the campus' mainframe computer. The university has provided the field professors with computers which are modern equipped for this purpose. Computers and printers were also provided to one or more cadre members and the clinical supervisors

in each region during the fall of 1990.

The Regional Partnership Program Summarized

Most reform efforts do not fundamentally alter the prevailing organizations (Pajak and Glickman, 1989). This regional partnership program is an exception. Many practitioners in the public schools are in middle to late careers and have been teaching in their current schools most of their professional lives (Evans, 1989). In an attempt to give these professionals more participative decision making opportunities and capitalize on their expertise, some school systems are restructuring their administrative practices by using school-based management plans. The UNI partnership model has recognized this phenomenon and has built its organizational format around it.

To achieve greater depth in field experience programs, each regional center has established a working structure in a manner that best fits the school districts involved in the partnership. The essence of these regional partnerships is that administratively and functionally the teacher education program is no longer **more of the same.** Unlike some school-based management plans where practitioners have little input in their areas of expertise, practitioners in this partnership can definitely make a contribution. They know the business of teaching, and they now have outlets for sharing their knowledge.

REFERENCES

Comfort, R. and Moore, J. (1987). Clinical instructor: An expanded role for teachers in teacher education. A paper presented at the National Meeting of the Association of Teacher Educators, Houston, Texas.

Evans, R. (1989). The faculty in midcareer: Implications for school improvement. *Educational Leadership*, 46, 8, 10-15.

Goodlad, J.I. (1987, Sept.). Schools and universities can and must work together. *The Principal*, 9-15.

Holmes Group. (1990). Tomorrow's Schools.

Pajak, E. and Glickman, C (1989). Dimensions of school district improvement. *Educational Leadership*, 46, 8, 61-64.

Smith, D. and Auger, K. (1986). Conflict or cooperation? Keys to success in partnership in teacher education. *Action in Teacher Education*, 1-9.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

David, J. (1989). Synthesis of research on school-based management. *Educational Leadership*, 46, 8, 45-53.

Stahlhut, R.G. and Hawkes, R.R. (1990). Teacher Empowerment in Teacher Education: A Model for Collaboration. Centering Teacher Education, 7(2), 7-9.

Teacher education through partnership. (1987). A monograph. Chapel Hill, N.C.: University of North Carolina.

Wu, P.C. (1986, Sept.-Oct.) Lesson for collaboration between educational agencies. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 61-64.